could be stated in the Parliament to the hon. Members. So far as the second part of the question is concerned, the Rs. 252 crores that I have stated is the provision for deterioration. It is not necessarily because of pilferage. But, pilferages also take place. When we get the complaints, we immediately inform the concerned State Government because law and order has to be taken care of bythe State Government. We immediately inform that this is what is happening. But there aare causes also, because it is kept open in a large tract of the area. It is not that type of a factory where we can keep things in a godown. That is why Rs. 252 crores have been so stated in the written answer.

श्री चतुरानन मिश्र : मैं मंत्री महोदय से यही आग्रह करूंगा कि कोल इंडिया को छोड़ करके और किसी दूसरी ऐजेंसी से इसकी जांच करवाएंगे क्या, स्टॉक वेरिफिकेशन ?

SHRI AJIT KUMAR PANJA: So far as the Coal Control Organisation is concerned, they also keep a watch on it.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Question Hour is over.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Gap between supply and demand of coal in Rajasthan

*642. SHRI RAMDAS AGARWAL: Will the Minister of COAL be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 3076 given in the Rajya Sabha on 24th April, 1995 and state the gap between supply and demand of coal at present in Rajasthan and in what manner the Central Government propose to meet the full demand of the State, if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF COAL (SHRI AJIT KUMAR PANJA): Demand for coal is not assessed State-wise, it is assessed sector-wise for the whole country. During 1994-95, Coal India Ltd. (CIL) has supplied 5.59 milion tonnes of coal to the consumers located in Rajasthan against 5.56 million tonnes of coal supplied during 1993-94. Coal India Ltd. is in a position to meet the assessed-demand of coal consumers located in the State of Rajasthan subject to transportation constraints.

Proposal for bifurcation of MPEDA

*644. SHRI V. HANUMANTHA RAO: Will the Minister of COMMERCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government will bifurcate the existing Marine Products Export Development Authority into marine and aquaculture exports;

(b) whether it is a fact that MPEDA has not bestowed adequate attention to marine exports from Andhra Pradesh;

(c) whether it is also a fact that neglect of marine exports by MPEDA has led to nagative impact on Indian deep-sea fishery; and

(d) steps proposed to bifurcate MPEDA?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF COMMERCE (SHRI P. CHIDAMBARAM): (a) No proposal to bifurcate the Marine Products Export Development Authority (MPEDA) is under consideration before the Government.

(b) and (c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

Delegation to Germany

645. SHRI SURESH KALMADI: Will the Minister of COMMERCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government propose to send a high level delegation to Germany to urge that Government to lift the ban on import to Textile and leather goods coloured using azo dyes; and

(b) if so, what is the composition of the delegation and when the same will leave for Germany?